Topological features of a gene co-expression network predict patterns of natural diversity in environmental response
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Molecular interactions affect the evolution of complex traits. For instance, adaptation may be constrained by pleiotropic or epistatic effects, both of which can be reflected in the structure of molecular interaction networks. To date, empirical studies investigating the role of molecular interactions in phenotypic evolution have been idiosyncratic, offering no clear patterns. Here, we investigated the network topology of genes putatively involved in local adaptation to two abiotic stressors—drought and cold—in Arabidopsis thaliana. Our findings suggest that the gene-interaction topologies for both cold and drought stress response are non-random, with genes that show genetic variation in drought expression response (eGxE) being significantly more peripheral and cold response genes being significantly more central than genes which do not show GxE. We suggest that the observed topologies reflect different constraints on the genetic pathways involved in environmental response. The approach presented here may inform predictive models linking genetic variation in molecular signalling networks with phenotypic variation, specifically traits involved in environmental response.

1. Introduction

Genes neither function nor evolve in isolation. The transcriptional activities of genes are often highly correlated with one another, forming hierarchical gene regulatory networks (GRNs) comprised of functionally related modules [1]. Within GRNs, some genes—‘nodes’—have stronger or more interactions—‘edges’—than do other genes. Because GRN position can influence the magnitude or pleiotropy of a mutation’s effect [2], and because the effect size of mutations is strongly associated with their evolutionary fate [3], properties of GRNs will probably affect selection acting on individual component genes [4]. Advances in high-throughput molecular phenotyping and systems analysis have improved our ability to characterize molecular interaction networks, providing the opportunity to address classic questions about the evolution of genetic interactions.

Two related features of gene regulatory networks might affect the evolution of individual genes within those networks. The first is the widespread observation that genes vary in their number of interacting neighbour genes, even by orders of magnitude [5]. This feature—the centrality or connectivity of a gene—can be measured in many different ways, including the number of directly interacting
genes or the number of paths to other genes that pass through a given gene [6]. The second feature is modularity, i.e. the degree to which the total gene network is composed of distinguishable, functionally related sub-networks of genes, or modules. Modules are often controlled by core proteins or other regulatory factors that regulate the module’s activity [7] using shared regulatory motifs among genes within the module [8]. Evidence for the pleiotropic nature of core genes has been found through decades of developmental genetics research which identified putative master regulators of the level, timing and location of expression of tens to thousands of other genes [4,7,9].

Transcriptional regulation by core genes plays an important role in adaptive responses to the environment [10,11] and such genes are often co-regulated as functional modules [12,13]. In some instances the environmental response of particular genes may be characteristic of entire species or kingdoms [14,15]. Considerable genetic variation in transcriptional response to environment—expression Genotype by Environment interaction (eGxE)—has also been identified within species [16]. At the molecular level, eGxE may be controlled by genetic variants acting in cis, e.g. by single nucleotide polymorphisms or presence-absence variants in promoter motifs, or by genetic variants acting in trans, such as transcription factors, small RNA species, or other regulatory factors upstream of genes showing eGxE. Genetic variants affecting eGxE are of particular interest because GxE represents the mutational substrates for the evolution of environmental response [17,18] and because GxE for fitness is required for local adaptation [19].

In the present study, we use a gene co-expression network [20] to extend earlier work assessing genetic variation in transcriptional activity during acclimation to cold [21] and soil drying [22] in Arabidopsis thaliana. We explore two hypotheses for how environmentally responsive regulatory networks evolve and might thereby be involved in local adaptation to environment. The first hypothesis, that eGxE is driven by genetic variation in core transcriptional regulatory proteins, arises from the observation that suites of traits often show high genetic correlation ([23]; in this context, ‘traits’ could be either individual transcripts or higher-level physiological or developmental phenotypes). Genetic variants in one or a small number of regulatory genes could therefore have considerable downstream consequences on traits and fitness. This model predicts that eGxE genes have relatively high network connectivity and, by extension, be clustered in relatively discrete functional modules. The second hypothesis posits that eGxE is driven by variation in genes located peripherally in transcriptional networks, which are expected to have smaller effect sizes and reduced pleiotropy. Expression variation in peripheral genes could therefore allow natural selection to ‘fine-tune’ environmental response by changing only a small number of transcriptional or higher-order traits. While these are not mutually exclusive hypotheses (e.g. some eGxE genes for a given environmental contrast might show patterns different from these expectations), their relative importance in nature has not been established.

2. Methods

(a) Data: co-expression network

We used datasets representing gene co-expression relationships in A. thaliana generated by Feltus et al. [20]; hereafter ‘Feltus network’) from 7105 published Affymetrix ATH1 microarray experiments. The first dataset was global (i.e. genome-wide and not restricted to certain functions or pathways), derived from a large adjacency matrix and employing a thresholding algorithm to generate a network containing 3297 nodes and 129 134 edges. These nodes represent 16% of genes on the ATH1. A complication from this global approach arises because of interactions between genotype, expression networks and environment (including ontogeny, tissue or cell type; [24]) across the 7105 microarray experiments, suggesting that analyses on more restricted sub-networks of genes might also be of interest. We generated a community-level dataset using the leading eigenvector method [25] as implemented in the R package igraph v. 1.0.1 [26] on the Feltus network. This approach subdivides the graph into sets of genes that are densely connected among themselves and loosely connected to other parts of the gene co-expression network.

(b) Data: transcriptomic responses to cold and drought stress

We used two published studies on natural variation in transcriptional response to cold [21] and drought [22]. Both studies used the ATH1 microarray to estimate genome-wide transcript abundance. Each study subjected a diverse panel of 9 [21] or 17 [22] natural accessions to a cold or drought treatment, respectively. Lasky et al. [27] re-analysed the cold dataset to match the analyses by Des Marais et al. In brief, the two earlier studies [22,27] quantified the effect of genotype, treatment and their interaction on gene expression (through a factorial ANOVA of transcript abundance, with 5% FDR). From these analyses, we were primarily interested in genes with a significant genotype-by-treatment interaction (i.e. those classified as ‘eGxE’), which show a genetically variable response to environmental changes. In the context of this paper, ‘stress-responsive’ genes are those previously classified as eGxE, as well as genes that show a similar pattern of response across natural accessions (‘cold-response’ or ‘drought-response’ genes).

(c) Network methods

We quantified the degree to which a gene was central using four standard network metrics: (i) degree, the raw number of connections; (ii) closeness centrality, the inverse of the average shortest path between the focal gene and all other genes in the network; (iii) betweenness centrality, the number of shortest paths between all pairs of nodes in the network which pass through the focal node; and (iv) eigenvector centrality. Eigenvector centrality, which is closely related to Google’s PageRank algorithm [28], measures a node’s centrality based on both the node’s own position in the network and the position of that node’s neighbours. More specifically, a node’s eigenvector centrality will be proportional to the average centralities of its neighbours [29]. These metrics were calculated on each of the co-expression datasets described above (the global network of Feltus et al. and the communities generated herein) using igraph [26].

(d) Enrichment analyses

We tested whether eGxE genes (n = 1473 for drought, n = 2149 for cold) exhibited non-random network metrics. Determining whether a gene has a higher value for any of these metrics is not appropriate for parametric statistics. Therefore, we conducted 10,000 permutations to generate null expectations for each contrast. These analyses were executed using custom scripts in R. In order to assess the biological function of co-expression communities, we first identified modules containing the greatest over- and under-representation of eGxE genes for each abiotic stressor using Fisher’s exact tests, taking significant communities
at a global FDR \(= 0.05\). We then tested gene ontology (GO) term enrichment in each of these modules in AgriGO \[30\] using default parameters and FDR \(= 0.05\).

All scripts and data used in our analyses are available at https://github.com/scarpino/arab_net.

3. Results

(a) Stress-responsive genes are non-randomly distributed in a transcriptional co-expression network

Both cold and drought eGxE genes were non-randomly distributed in the Feltus network with respect to degree and centrality (qualitatively similar results were recovered with various measures of centrality; see the electronic supplementary material, tables S2 and S3). Drought eGxE genes as a group had fewer connections (median degree for drought eGxE = 4; non-eGxE = 13; figure 1a) and were less central (figure 1b) than non-eGxE genes used as control. Cold eGxE genes exhibited the opposite effect, having higher degree (median cold: eGxE = 38; non-eGxE = 11; figure 1c) and being more centrally located (figure 1d) compared to genomic controls. Out of 10 000 permutations, we did not observe a single set of genes with more extreme low (drought) or high (cold) distributions of degree and eigenvector centrality, corresponding to a \(p\)-value of \(10^{-4}\). These results remain robust after accounting for differences in absolute expression level among genes (see the electronic supplementary material, table S1) and after accounting for differences in statistical power to detect eGxE in the two source datasets (see the electronic supplementary material, figures S1 and S2). We also find that the pattern of low centrality for drought eGxE genes is restricted to genes showing rank-changing GxE (see the electronic supplementary material).

Two additional analyses verify the robustness of our results. First, we relaxed the assumption that all genes in the network are equally likely to participate in environmental response. It is possible that the appropriate null distribution should be constructed using nearby genes (e.g. those with strong co-expression) because certain pathways must be involved in the phenotypic response to drought or cold \[20\]. Focusing only on such local modules, and averaging across all detected modules, we find similar results as before. Cold eGxE genes have higher degree (median 34 higher than non-eGxE) and a significantly higher median eigenvector centrality (median 0.14 higher than non-eGxE). Drought eGxE genes have lower degree (median 16 fewer connections than non-eGxE genes) and had a significantly lower eigenvector centrality (median 0.04 below non-eGxE). Second, we assessed the explanatory power of our result by performing iterative out-of-sample model validation. We randomly selected 80% of the genes in the network and constructed a generalized linear model with a binomial error distribution to predict genes as eGxE based solely on their degree and eigenvector centrality. We then predicted the eGxE state for the remaining 20% of genes, recorded the error, and repeated this procedure 1000 times. Assuming a threshold for accurate classification of 5%, we correctly classified 95.4% of genes for cold and 77.0% of genes for drought. These results accommodate classification errors for both eGxE and non-eGxE genes, which means that for cold we correctly classify nearly every gene included in the co-expression network as being eGxE based solely on its degree and eigenvector centrality.

Figure 1. Expression GxE genes are non-randomly distributed in the Arabidopsis gene regulatory network. As a group, eGxE genes in drought conditions have significantly lower degree (a) and eigenvector centrality (c) than do genomic controls. As a group, eGxE genes in cold conditions have significantly higher degree (b) and eigenvector centrality (d) than do genomic controls. The solid line represents the median, coloured boxes indicate the inter-quartile range, and whiskers mark the entire range of the data. (Online version in colour.)
stress results in a slight increase in plant carbon status, eGxE genes. Previously, we observed that moderate drought module was found to be under response to varying degrees. Interestingly, this same, large the sampled accessions modify metabolism during cold acclimation, in part because of the accumulation of sugars as cryoprotectants [31,32], so this eGxE may indicate that mary metabolism is frequently observed during cold (electronic supplementary material, table S4). Altered pri- response to abiotic stimulus, and response to cold is 27th terms were for immune and defence responses (electronic supplementary material, table S5; many genes, particularly kinases, annotated as immune and defence responses also show responses to abiotic stress [33]). Previously, we found that drought eGxE genes showed very few significant functional enrichments using a genome-wide test for statistical enrichment [22], suggesting that the network-informed approach used here may afford additional statistical power to detect functional patterns in these high-dimensional datasets.

(b) eGxE genes show modular distribution that differs between environments

We next asked whether the non-random distribution of node connectivity of eGxE genes reflects their membership in particular or modules of interacting genes. Both the cold and drought eGxE genes were non-randomly distributed among the modules. For cold, 32.5% of all eGxE genes exist within a single, large module containing 605 genes (figure 2a) and an additional 26.5% of cold eGxE genes are found in a second large module containing 425 genes. In contrast, for drought, the two modules with the highest accumulation of eGxE genes together contain only 18% of the total number of eGxE genes (figure 2b shows the larger of these two). Moreover, drought eGxE are statistically over-represented in five small modules comprised between 10 and 100 members (figure 3b), while cold eGxE genes are clustered in a few large modules (figure 3a). The membership of eGxE genes in modules of differing size recapitulates our earlier result: cold eGxE genes tend to be functionally connected to many other genes, while genes involved in drought response tend to be in peripheral network positions.

To test the hypothesis that the modules with diverging patterns of expression eGxE reflect natural variation in function, we took the genes in the two modules with the most over-representation in cold and in drought response and tested for enrichment of GO annotations. We found 116 significant GO terms enriched in the most over-represented cold eGxE module. The top five terms were all related to photosynthesis and related processes, the sixth term is response to abiotic stimulus, and response to cold is 27th (electronic supplementary material, table S4). Altered primary metabolism is frequently observed during cold acclimation, in part because of the accumulation of sugars as cryoprotectants [31,32], so this eGxE may indicate that the sampled accessions modify metabolism during cold response to varying degrees. Interestingly, this same, large module was found to be under-represented for drought eGxE genes. Previously, we observed that moderate drought stress results in a slight increase in plant carbon status, possibly related to the development of additional root mass as a drought avoidance strategy [22]. The under-enrichment of eGxE genes in this module suggests that this metabolic response is common to the sampled accessions. We found 89 significant GO terms enriched in the most over-represented drought eGxE module. The top term and many of the subsequent terms were for immune and defence responses (electronic supplementary material, table S5; many genes, particularly kinases, annotated as immune and defence responses also show responses to abiotic stress [33]). Previously, we found that drought eGxE genes showed very few significant functional enrichments using a genome-wide test for statistical enrichment [22], suggesting that the network-informed approach used here may afford additional statistical power to detect functional patterns in these high-dimensional datasets.

Two specific examples, drawn from the module analysis, illustrate the power of our approach. The WKRY33 transcription factor (At2g38470) is a positive regulator of osmotic stress [34] and, in our analysis, this gene is the most highly connected member of community 13 which is over-represented for drought eGxE genes (figure 3). WRKY33 does not, itself, show GxE in the drought dataset. Interestingly, six of the seven eGxE genes in this community have predicted WKRY binding domains in their proximal promoter regions (as determined in an online database; [35]). community 13 may therefore represent one functional type of eGxE: a conserved, stress-responsive transcription factor regulating genes which show variable expression response to the environment. RPS1 (At5g30510) is a second interesting candidate revealed by our analysis. This ribosomal protein shows GxE in the cold dataset [27] and is a very highly connected member (degree = 567) in the cold eGxE-enriched community 1. Arabidopsis thaliana RPS1 regulates synthesis of chloroplast thylakoid membrane proteins [36], though it currently has no known role in cold stress response. Its central position in community 1, which is enriched for cold eGxE genes as well as genes involved in chloroplast function, suggests RPS1 as a candidate for controlling genotype-dependent cold acclimation responses.
of eGxE genes (Fisher’s exact test; FDR)

sub-communities in which eGxE genes are significantly over-represented, while empty circles represent sub-communities with significant under-representation in the community. Each sub-community in the analysis is shown with a circle (only sub-communities with 10 or more genes are included). Filled circles indicate sub-communities in which eGxE genes are significantly over-represented, while empty circles represent sub-communities with significant under-representation of eGxE genes (Fisher’s exact test; FDR = 0.05). (Online version in colour.)

4. Discussion

Previously, we demonstrated an important role of cis-regulatory variants underlying diversity of environmental response among natural genotypes of Arabidopsis [27]. Natural variation in response to drought showed different genomic patterns than did natural variation in response to cold, suggesting that natural selection may affect different parts of the transcriptional regulatory networks for these two complex traits. Specifically, the proximal promoters of genes showing eGxE for drought had significantly higher nucleotide diversity and significantly higher among-genotype variation in key drought-responsive promoter motifs (abscisic acid responsive elements, ABREs) when compared to genome averages [27].

These earlier observations are consistent with the results presented here: drought eGxE genes are in smaller modules and are relatively loosely connected to other genes, suggesting that genetic variation in expression response to drought is controlled by cis-acting variants. This architecture may permit functionally diverse modules to act independently from one another, i.e. showing environmental response in only some genotypes [37]. Our results may also explain why a recent expression QTL (eQTL) study of drought response identified a preponderance of cis-acting eQTL and few trans-eQTL [38].

Diverse populations and species can acclimate to transient soil drying stress in diverse ways—via changes in growth, transpiration, leaf area-volume ratios, timing of reproduction, cell wall composition, and synthesis of various osmoprotectants and chaperonins, to name but a few [39]. In this model, the modular co-expression architecture observed here reflects functionally distinct transcriptional modules driving different physiological responses among populations. The extent to which such physiological alterations are under independent or common genetic control is presently unknown.

Nucleotide diversity in the proximal promoters of cold eGxE genes is also elevated but, in contrast to drought genes, not to a degree that is statistically significant compared to genome averages [27]. The promoters of cold eGxE genes exhibit lower among-genotype turnover of known cold-responsive motifs compared to genome averages (specifically, the C-Repeat, CRT, motif). Along with our observation that cold eGxE genes are highly connected in the Feltus gene co-expression network, these patterns of sequence diversity suggest that the transcriptional control of eGxE for cold acclimation is driven by genetic variants in upstream regulatory features, such as transcription factors. Natural variants in transcription factors are expected to have larger mutational effect size owing to the regulatory influence of these proteins on downstream genes. The RPS1 gene, described above, is an interesting candidate in this regard.

What drives the apparent difference in the genetic architecture of natural variation in response to drought compared to response to cold? We speculate that, while daily or seasonal drying stress is probably experienced by A. thaliana plants to some degree across the species range, severe cold stress is probably only experienced by populations at higher latitudes or altitudes. A recent study demonstrated that multiple, apparently independent, loss of function mutations in key transcriptional regulators of cold-responsive genes are associated with geographical variation in winter temperature across the range of A. thaliana [40].

The activity of these CRT-binding transcription factors (CBF) shows a strong positive correlation with the capacity of A. thaliana natural accessions to acclimate to cold [21,41,42]. Perhaps cold-associated selective gradients involve sharp transitions (along spatial and climatic gradients) in optimal phenotypes, with only a few fitness optima for cold tolerance—manifested as cold-tolerant, functional CBF versus cold-intolerant, non-functional CBF accessions. By contrast, drought tolerance may be subject to smoother, more gradual selective gradients, for which many smaller-effect variants allow fine-tuning of response.

![Figure 3](http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/Downloaded from http://rspb.royalsocietypublishing.org/)
5. Conclusion

Our results suggest that topological relationships among genes in transcriptional regulatory networks affect how natural populations adapt to the multivariate environment. A promising extension of our approach is to link information regarding the topological features of a given gene—its connectivity, in the case here presented, as well as its membership in particular functional modules—with information associating genetic variants with phenotype from genetic mapping. Such a combined analysis could clarify how putatively functional variants identified via association mapping result in phenotypic variation via cellular and physiological mechanisms [43].

From an applied perspective, understanding how natural variation affects transcriptional regulatory networks may inform decisions about how to improve agricultural performance in challenging environments. We note that breeding for improved performance under soil drying has been quite challenging [44]; our results suggest that targeting specific physiological mechanisms by manipulating genes at the ‘tips’ of regulatory networks, shown herein to exhibit drought eGxE, may be a more fruitful strategy than targeting central regulatory molecules which may exhibit undesirable pleiotropic effects (‘yield drag’; e.g. [45]).
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